arXiv:1406.2525v1 [math.AP] 10 Jun 2014

SHARP SPHERICALLY AVERAGED STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

ZIHUA GUO

Abstract. We prove generalized Strichartz estimates with weaker angular integrability for the Schrödinger equation. Our estimates are sharp except some endpoints. Then we apply these new estimates to prove the scattering for the 3D Zakharov system with small data in the energy space with low angular regularity. Our results improve the results obtained recently in [11].

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Uniform estimates for Bessel functions 4
3. Spherically averaged Strichartz estimates 10
3.1. The improvement: non-endpoint 11
3.2. The improvement: endpoint 15
3.3. Counter-example 16
4. 3D Zakharov system 17
5. Appendix: Sobolev spaces on $SO(3)$ 19
Acknowledgment 19
References 20

1. Introduction

In this paper, we continue the previous work [11] to study the generalized Strichartz estimates for the following Schrödinger-type dispersive equations

$$i\partial_t u + D^a u = 0,\ u(0,x) = f(x) \tag{1.1}$$

where $u(t,x) : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$, $D = \sqrt{-\Delta}$, $a > 0$. We are mainly concerned with following estimates

$$\|e^{itD^a} P_0 f\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_\rho^p L_\omega^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\widehat{P_0 f} \approx 1_{|\xi|\sim 1}\hat{f}$ (See the end of this section for the precise definition). Here the norm $L_t^q \mathcal{L}_\rho^p L_\omega^s$ for function $u(t,x)$ on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d (d \geq 2)$ is defined as follows

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_\rho^p L_\omega^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |u(t,\rho x')|^s d\omega(x') \right|^{\frac{p}{s}} \rho^{d-1} d\rho \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the sharp range for (q,p) such that the estimate (1.2) holds. Then we apply these estimates to the 3D Zakharov system.

Two typical examples are of particular interest, one is the wave equation ($a = 1$), the other is the Schrödinger equation ($a = 2$). The space time estimates which are called Strichartz estimates address the estimates

$$\|e^{itD^a} P_0 f\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{1.3}$$

Strichartz [38] first proved (1.3) for the case $q = p$ and then the estimates were substantially extended by various authors, e.g. [8, 22] for $a = 1$, and [7, 42] for $a = 2$. It is now well-known (see [18]) that the optimal range for (1.3) is the admissible condition: if $a = 1$,

$$2 \le q, p \le \infty,\ \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}),\ (q, p, d) \ne (2, \infty, 3); \tag{WA}$$

and if $a \ne 1$,

$$2 \le q, p \le \infty,\ \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}),\ (q, p, d) \ne (2, \infty, 2). \tag{SA}$$

However, if $d \ge 2$ and f is radial, then (1.3) holds for a wider range of (q, p) (for example, see [20, 34, 31]). For the wave equation ($a = 1$), the optimal range for (1.3) under radial symmetry assumption is (see [20, 34, 37], see also [14])

$$2 \le q, p \le \infty,\ \frac{1}{q} < (d-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \text{ or } (q, p) = (\infty, 2). \tag{RWA}$$

For the Schrödinger-type equation ($a \ne 1$), it was known that (1.3) holds under radial symmetry assumption if the following condition holds:

$$2 \le q, p \le \infty,\ \frac{1}{q} \le (d - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}),\ (q, p) \ne (2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3}). \tag{RSA}$$

The range (RSA) is optimal except the radial endpoint $(q, p) = (2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3})$ which still remains open. (RSA) was first obtained in [14] except some endpoints improving the results in [31] and the remaining endpoint estimates were later obtained in [5, 17] independently.

There are two kinds of analogue results in the non-radial case. The first is to consider the estimate with additional angular regularity (See the end of this section for the definition of $H_\omega^{0,s}$)

$$\|e^{itD^a} P_0 f\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_\omega^{0,s}} \tag{1.4}$$

in which some angular regularity is traded off by the extension of admissible range, see [37, 16, 5]. The second one is to consider the estimate (1.2) that we study in this paper. From the viewpoint of application, the estimate (1.2) works better than (1.4), because there is no loss of angular regularity. The spherically averaged Strichartz norm was used in [40] to obtain the endpoint case of Strichartz estimate for 2D Schrödinger (see [23] for 3D wave equation). For the wave equation ($a = 1$), it was known that (1.2) also holds for (q, p) satisfying (RWA) (see [33, 16, 5]). If $a \ne 1$, [11] showed that (1.2) holds for (q, p) satisfying (RWA), improving the results in [16]. Moreover, when $a > 1$, [11] also showed (1.2) holds for (q, p) belonging to a wider range than (RWA), but the sharp range is unknown.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a > 1$. Then* (1.2) *holds if* (q, p) *satisfies*
(i) $d = 2$*:*

$$2 \le q, p \le \infty, \ \frac{1}{q} < (d - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \ \textit{or} \ (q, p) = (\infty, 2). \tag{1.5}$$

(ii) $d \ge 3$*:* (q, p) *satisfies* (RSA).

By the theorem above, we see that the optimal range for (1.2) is obtained except the endpoint line $\frac{1}{q} = (d - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$ for $d = 2$, and the endpoint $(q, p) = (2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3})$ for $d \ge 3$. The basic ideas of proving Theorem 1.1 are the same as in [11], namely to do the space dyadically localized estimates by exploiting the decay and oscillatory effect of a family of Bessel functions uniformly. The key differences in this paper are: 1) we prove better uniform estimates for Bessel functions (indeed, we give a uniform expansion) in the transitive region; 2) we treat the oscillatory integral operator related to the Bessel function in finer scale so that we can catch more subtle oscillatory effects; 3) To get the endpoint for $d \ge 3$, we exploit some "almost orthogonality" to overcome some logarithmic summation difficulty.

Besides its own interest, (1.2) plays important roles in the nonlinear problems, e.g. in [11] where the authors proved scattering for the 3D Zakharov system for small data in the energy space with one additional angular regularity. In this paper, we use the new estimates in Theorem 1.1 to improve the angular regularity. Consider the 3D Zakharov system:

$$\begin{cases} i\dot{u} - \Delta u = nu, \\ \ddot{n}/\alpha^2 - \Delta n = -\Delta |u|^2, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

with the initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0, \ n(0, x) = n_0, \ \dot{n}(0, x) = n_1, \tag{1.7}$$

where $(u, n)(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha > 0$ denotes the ion sound speed. The system was introduced by Zakharov [43] as a mathematical model for the Langmuir turbulence in unmagnetized ionized plasma. It preserves $\|u(t)\|_{L^2_x}$ and the energy

$$E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{|D^{-1}\dot{n}|^2/\alpha^2 + |n|^2}{2} - n|u|^2 dx \tag{1.8}$$

The natural energy space for initial data is

$$(u_0, n_0, n_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{1.9}$$

The Zakharov system has been extensively studied, see [4, 10, 19, 25, 10, 3, 10, 2, 4, 39, 21, 30, 27, 24, 26, 32, 9, 28, 12, 13], and the introduction of [11].

Since global well-posedness for (1.6) with small data in the energy space was proved by Bourgain-Colliander [4], the long time behavior of the solutions has been a very interesting problem. For this problem, the first result was obtained in [12] that scattering holds for small energy data under radial symmetry assumption. Later, global dynamics below ground state in the radial case was obtained in [13]. In the non-radial case, for data with sufficient regularity and decay, and with suitable small norm, scattering was obtained in [15]. In [11], the authors proved scattering for small data in the energy space with one order angular regularity. In this paper we prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s > 3/4$. Assume $\|(u_0, n_0, n_1)\|_{H^{1,s}_\omega \times H^{0,s}_\omega \times \dot{H}^{-1,s}_\omega} = \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then the global solution (u, n) to (1.6) belongs to $C^0_t H^{1,s}_\omega \times C^0_t H^{0,s}_\omega \cap C^1_t \dot{H}^{-1,s}_\omega$, and scatters in this space.*

Theorem 1.2 was proved in [11] for $s = 1$. We improve the angular regularity to $s > 3/4$ by using the new estimates of Theorem 1.1. To deal with the fractional derivative on the sphere, we transfer it to the fractional derivative on $SO(3)$ (see the appendix). We remark that $s > 3/4$ reaches a limitation of our method. To remove the angular regularity, new ideas should be developed.

Notations. Finally we close this section by listing the notation.
• We denote $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{Z} \cap (0, \infty)$.
• $\mathcal{F}(f)$ and $\widehat{f}$ denote the Fourier transform of f, $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x)dx$. For $a \geq 1$, $S_a(t) = e^{itD^a} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{it|\xi|^a} \mathcal{F}$.
• $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is an even, non-negative smooth function which is supported in $\{\xi : |\xi| \leq 8/5\}$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 5/4$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\chi_k(\xi) = \eta(\xi/2^k) - \eta(\xi/2^{k-1})$ and $\chi_{\leq k}(\xi) = \eta(\xi/2^k)$.
• $P_k, P_{\leq k}$ are defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $\widehat{P_k u}(\xi) = \chi_k(|\xi|)\widehat{u}(\xi)$, $\widehat{P_{\leq k} u}(\xi) = \chi_{\leq k}(|\xi|)\widehat{u}(\xi)$.
• Δ_ω denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unite sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ endowed with the standard metric g measure $d\omega$ and $\Lambda_\omega = \sqrt{1 - \Delta_\omega}$. Denote $L^p_\omega = L^p_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = L^p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1} : d\omega)$, $\mathcal{H}^s_p = \mathcal{H}^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = \Lambda^{-s}_\omega L^p_\omega$.
• $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space, and $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^+) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^+ : r^{d-1}dr)$.
• $\mathcal{L}^p_r L^q_\omega$ and $\mathcal{L}^p_r \mathcal{H}^s_q$ are Banach spaces defined by the following norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_r L^q_\omega} = \big\| \|f(r\omega)\|_{L^q_\omega} \big\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_r}, \ \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_r \mathcal{H}^s_q} = \big\| \|f(r\omega)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_q} \big\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_r}.$$

• H^s_p, $\dot{H}^s_p$ ($B^s_{p,q}$, $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}$) are the usual Sobolev (Besov) spaces on $\mathbb{R}^d$.
• $\dot{B}^s_{(p,q),r}$ denotes the Besov-type space given by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{(p,q),r}} = \Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksr} \|P_k f\|^r_{\mathcal{L}^p_r L^q_\omega}\Big)^{1/r}.$$

• $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $H^{s,\alpha}_{p,\omega}$ is the space with the norm $\|f\|_{H^{s,\alpha}_{p,\omega}} = \|\Lambda^\alpha_\omega f\|_{H^s_p}$, and the spaces $\dot{H}^{s,\alpha}_{p,\omega}$, $B^{s,\alpha}_{p,q,\omega}$, $\dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{p,q,\omega}$, and $\dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{(p,q),r,\omega}$ are defined similarly.
• For simplicity, we denote $H^{s,\alpha}_\omega = H^{s,\alpha}_{2,\omega}$, $\dot{H}^{s,\alpha}_\omega = \dot{H}^{s,\alpha}_{2,\omega}$, $B^{s,\alpha}_{p,\omega} = B^{s,\alpha}_{p,2,\omega}$, $\dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{p,\omega} = \dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{p,2,\omega}$, $\dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{(p,q),\omega} = \dot{B}^{s,\alpha}_{(p,q),2,\omega}$.
• Let X be a Banach space on $\mathbb{R}^d$. $L^q_t X$ denotes the space-time space on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with the norm $\|u\|_{L^q_t X} = \big\| \|u(t,\cdot)\|_X \big\|_{L^q_t}$.

2. Uniform estimates for Bessel functions

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to deal with a family of Bessel functions which are defined by

$$J_\nu(r) = \frac{(r/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)\pi^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{irt}(1 - t^2)^{\nu - 1/2} dt, \quad \nu > -1/2.$$

In this section, we study the uniform properties for the Bessel functions $J_\nu(r)$ with respect to the order ν by some dedicate stationary phase analysis. Consider the oscillatory integral

$$I(\lambda)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{i\lambda\phi(x)}a(x)dx,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}$$

where $\phi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $a\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We are interested in the behavior of $I(\lambda)$ as $\lambda\to\infty$. In order to apply it to the estimate for Bessel function, we need to track the dependence of ϕ, a, since we allow ϕ depends on λ. There is a classical useful Van der Corput lemma (see [36]):

Lemma 2.1 (Van der Corput)**.** *Suppose ϕ is real-valued and smooth in (a,b), and that $|\phi^{(k)}(x)|\geq 1$ for all $x\in(a,b)$. Then*

$$\left|\int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)}\psi(x)dx\right|\leq c_k\lambda^{-1/k}\left[|\psi(b)|+\int_a^b|\psi'(x)|dx\right]$$

holds when (i) $k\geq 2$, or (ii) $k=1$ and $\phi'(x)$ is monotonic. Here c_k is a constant depending only on k.

By the Van der Corput lemma and the Schläfli's integral representation of Bessel function (see p. 176, [41]):

$$\begin{aligned}J_\nu(r)=&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}e^{i(r\sin x-\nu x)}dx-\frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi}\int_0^\infty e^{-\nu\tau-r\sinh\tau}d\tau\\:=&J_\nu^M(r)-J_\nu^E(r),\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

we can prove

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $r,\nu>10$. Then we have*

$$|J_\nu(r)|+|J_\nu'(r)|\leq Cr^{-1/3}(1+r^{-1/3}|r-\nu|)^{-1/4}.\tag{2.2}$$

Proof. It's obvious that $|J_\nu^E(r)|\lesssim(r+\nu)^{-1}$, then it remains to consider J_ν^M. We may assume $\nu\lesssim r$. If $|r-\nu|>r^{1/3}$, see the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [11]. If $|r-\nu|\leq r^{1/3}$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 with $k=2,3$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\nu\in\mathbb{N}$, $\nu>r+\lambda$, and $\lambda>r^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Then for any $K\in\mathbb{N}$*

$$|J_\nu(r)|+|J_\nu'(r)|\leq C_{K,\varepsilon}r^{-K\varepsilon}.\tag{2.3}$$

Proof. We only need to estimate $J_\nu(r)$, since $2J_\nu'(r)=J_{\nu-1}(r)-J_{\nu+1}(r)$ (see p. 45 (2) in [41]). If $\nu\geq 2r$, then integrating by part K times we can get $|J_\nu(r)|\lesssim\nu^{-K}\lesssim r^{-K}$. Now we assume $\nu\leq 2r$. Let $\phi(x)=r\sin x-\nu x$. Then $\phi'(x)=r\cos x-\nu$. By the assumption $|\phi'(x)|>r^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$. Define the operator D_ϕ by

$$D_\phi(f)=-\partial_x(\phi'(x)^{-1}f).$$

Then

$$J_\nu(r)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\phi'(x)^{-1}\partial_x[e^{i(r\sin x-\nu x)}]dx=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}e^{i(r\sin x-\nu x)}D_\phi^K(1)dx.$$

It suffices to show

$$|D_\phi^K(1)|\leq C_{K,\varepsilon}r^{-K\varepsilon}.\tag{2.4}$$

We prove (2.4) by induction on K. For $K=0$, the bound is trivial. Now we consider $K=1$. We have

$$\partial_x(\phi'(x)^{-1}) = \phi'(x)^{-1}\frac{r\sin x}{\phi'(x)}.$$

Let $g(x)=\frac{r\sin x}{\phi'(x)}$. By calculus we see

$$|g(x)| \le |g(\arccos\frac{r}{\nu})| = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\nu^2-r^2}} \lesssim r^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus by assumption the case $K=1$ is proved. Now we assume (2.4) holds for K by using the bound (2.5). If g is not a factor of $D_\phi^K(1)$, then

$$|D_\phi^{K+1}(1)| \sim |D_\phi^K(1)\phi'(x)^{-1}g(x)| \lesssim r^{-(K+1)\varepsilon}.$$

If g is a factor of $D_\phi^K(1)$, then

$$D_\phi^{K+1}(1) = CD_\phi^K(1)\phi'(x)^{-1}g(x) + \tilde{C}G$$

where G is given by $D_\phi^K(1)$ but with one factor g replaced by $\phi'(x)^{-1}\frac{r\cos x}{\phi'(x)}$. The letter has better bound $r^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$ than g. So by induction $|G| \lesssim r^{-(K+1)\varepsilon}$. We complete the proof of the lemma. □

We will not only use the decay of $I(\lambda)$, but also the oscillation of $I(\lambda)$. Following the argument of section 3.4 in [44] (see also [36]), we prove

Lemma 2.4. *Assume a is supported in $\{x\in\mathbb{R}: |x|<1\}$, and ϕ satisfies*
(1) $\phi(0)=\phi'(0)=0$;
(2) $\phi''(x)\sim 1$, if $|x|\le 1$;
(3) $|\phi^{(k)}(x)|\lesssim 1$ if $|x|\le 1$, $1\le k\le 6$.
Then

$$I(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\frac{a(0)e^{i\pi/4}}{[\phi''(0)]^{1/2}} + R(\lambda)$$

and for some C independent of ϕ, a, λ, we have

$$|R(\lambda)| \le C\lambda^{-3/2}\sum_{0\le k\le 4}\sup_{\mathbb{R}}|\partial^k a|.$$

Moreover, if assuming $|a^{(k)}(x)|\lesssim 1$ and $|\phi^{(k)}(x)|\lesssim 1$ for $|x|<1$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, then for any $K\in\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$R(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}e^{i\pi/4}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{a_k\lambda^{-k}}{k!} + \tilde{R}(\lambda)$$

where $|a_k|\le C^k$ and $|\tilde{R}(\lambda)|\le C_K\lambda^{-K-3/2}$ with constants C, C_K independent of ϕ,λ.

Proof. Since $\phi'(x) = x\cdot\int_0^1\phi''(tx)dt$, then we have $\phi'(x)\sim x$ if $0<|x|<1$. We write $\phi(x)=\frac{1}{2}\psi(x)x^2$ with $\psi(x)=2\int_0^1(1-t)\phi''(tx)dt$, then we know $\psi(x)\sim 1$ if $|x|<1$. Make a change of variables

$$y := \psi(x)^{1/2}x.$$

We see that $\partial_y x = \frac{x}{\phi'(x)}\psi(x)^{1/2}\sim 1$ if $|x|<1$ and $x_y(0)=\frac{1}{[\phi''(0)]^{1/2}}$. So it determines unique a function $x=x(y)$. Moreover, using the equality

$$\partial_y x = \frac{x}{\phi'(x)}\psi(x)^{1/2} = (\int_0^1\phi''(tx)dt)^{-1}(2\int_0^1(1-t)\phi''(tx)dt)^{1/2}$$

and the condition (3) we easily get

$$|\partial_y^k(x)|\lesssim 1, \quad 1\le k\le 5. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus

$$I(\lambda)=\int e^{\frac{i\lambda y^2}{2}}a(x(y))x_y(y)dy.$$

Let $u(y)=a(x(y))x_y(y)$. Using the fact $\mathcal{F}(e^{-\frac{i\lambda y^2}{2}})=(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}e^{\frac{i\xi^2}{2\lambda}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\lambda)=&(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\int e^{-\frac{i\xi^2}{2\lambda}}\hat{u}(\xi)d\xi\\
=&(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\frac{a(0)}{[\phi''(0)]^{1/2}}+\frac{(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2\lambda}\int\frac{e^{(-\frac{i\xi^2}{2\lambda}}-1)2\lambda}{-i\xi^2}(-i\xi^2)\hat{u}(\xi)d\xi\\
:=&(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\frac{a(0)}{[\phi''(0)]^{1/2}}+R(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate $R(\lambda)$. By (2.6) we get

$$|R(\lambda)|\lesssim\lambda^{-3/2}\|\widehat{\partial^2 u}\|_{L^1}\lesssim\lambda^{-3/2}\sum_{0\le k\le 2}\sup_{\mathbb{R}}|\partial^k\partial^2 u|\lesssim\lambda^{-3/2}\sum_{0\le k\le 4}\sup_{\mathbb{R}}|\partial^k a|.$$

Moreover, if $|a^{(k)}(x)|\lesssim 1$ and $|\phi^{(k)}(x)|\lesssim 1$ for $|x|<1$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, then (2.6) holds for $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Then by the Taylor's expansion $e^{-\frac{i\xi^2}{2\lambda}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-i\xi^2/(2\lambda))^k}{k!}$, we can prove the expansion for $R(\lambda)$ with

$$a_k=2^{-k}i^k\partial^{2k}u(0).$$

We complete the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 1. (1) If ϕ depends on λ, a is independent of λ, then $x=x(y,\lambda)$, and

$$\partial_\lambda x=-\frac{\partial_\lambda\phi}{\partial_x\phi},\quad \partial^2_{y\lambda}x=-\frac{y\partial_x^2\phi\partial_\lambda\phi}{(\partial_x\phi)^3}.$$

Then we get

$$|\partial_\lambda R(\lambda)|\lesssim\lambda^{-5/2}+\lambda^{-3/2}\sup_{|x|\lesssim 1}\frac{|\partial_\lambda\phi|}{|x|^2}.$$

(2) Lemma 2.4 applies easily to the general case. If ϕ satisfies $\phi'(x_0)=0$ for some $x_0\in\mathrm{supp}(a)$ and $\phi'(x)\neq 0$ for $x_0\neq x\in\mathrm{supp}(a)$, then under suitable conditions

$$I(\lambda)=(2\pi\lambda^{-1})^{1/2}|\phi''(x_0)|^{-1/2}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}\mathrm{sgn}\phi''(x_0)}e^{i\lambda\phi(x_0)}a(x_0)+O(\lambda^{-3/2}).$$

We need to deal with $J_\nu(r)$ on the region $r>\nu+\nu^{1/3}$ which is usually the main contribution. The difficulty is that we need to catch both decay and oscillation, especially in the transitive region $\nu+\nu^{1/3}<r<2\nu$. In the case $d=2$, we need a uniform expansion of the Bessel functions in this region. We prove

Lemma 2.5 (Asymptotical property)**.** *Let $\nu>10$ and $r>\nu+\nu^{1/3}$. Then*

(1) We have

$$J_\nu(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{e^{i\theta(r)}+e^{-i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}+h(\nu,r),$$

where

$$\theta(r)=(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}-\nu\arccos\frac{\nu}{r}-\frac{\pi}{4}$$

and

$$|h(\nu,r)|\lesssim\bigg(\frac{\nu^2}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{7/4}}+\frac{1}{r}\bigg)1_{[\nu+\nu^{1/3},2\nu]}(r)+r^{-1}1_{[2\nu,\infty)}(r).$$

(2) Let $x_0=\arccos\frac{\nu}{r}$. For any $K\in\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}h(\nu,r)=&(2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{i\theta(r)}x_0\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(rx_0^3)^{-k-1/2}a_k(x_0)}{k!}\\&+(2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-i\theta(r)}x_0\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(rx_0^3)^{-k-1/2}\tilde{a}_k(x_0)}{k!}+\tilde{h}(\nu,r)\end{aligned}$$

with functions $|\partial^l a_k|+|\partial^l\tilde{a}_k|\lesssim 1$ for any $l\in\mathbb{N}$ and

$$|\tilde{h}(\nu,r)|\lesssim\bigg(\frac{r^{\frac{K}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}{(r-\nu)^{\frac{3K}{2}+7/4}}+\frac{1}{r}\bigg)1_{[\nu+\nu^{1/3},2\nu]}(r)+r^{-1}1_{[2\nu,\infty)}(r).$$

Moreover, if $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have better estimate

$$|\tilde{h}(\nu,r)|\lesssim\frac{r^{\frac{K}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}{(r-\nu)^{\frac{3K}{2}+7/4}}1_{[\nu+\nu^{1/3},2\nu]}(r)+r^{-3/2}1_{[2\nu,\infty)}(r).$$

Proof. Part (1) was given in [1]. Here we give a proof by Lemma 2.4. If $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$, then $J_\nu^E(r)=0$. Thus it suffices to consider $J_\nu^M(r)$. Denote $\phi(x)=\sin x-\frac{\nu}{r}x$. Let $\phi'(x)=\cos x-\frac{\nu}{r}=0$, then we find two solutions $x=\pm x_0=\pm\arccos\frac{\nu}{r}$. Since $\nu<r$, we get $x_0\sim\frac{\sqrt{r^2-\nu^2}}{r}<1$. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $r\geq 2\nu$.

In this case we have $x_0\sim 1$. Let $\beta(x)$ be a cutoff function around 0 and supported in $\{|x|\ll 1\}$. Let $\tilde{\beta}=1-\beta(x-x_0)-\beta(x+x_0)$. Then

$$J_\nu^M(r)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}e^{ir\phi(x)}[\beta(x-x_0)+\beta(x+x_0)+\tilde{\beta}(x)]dx:=I_1+I_2+I_3.$$

First, we estimate the term I_3. Since $|\phi'(x)|\sim 1$ in $\mathrm{supp}\tilde{\beta}$, integrating by part we get that

$$|I_3|\lesssim|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{\partial_x[e^{ir\phi(x)}]}{ir\phi'(x)}\tilde{\beta}(x)dx|\lesssim r^{-1}.$$

If $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can do better since the boundary term vanishes. Indeed, in this case from the fact that $e^{ir\phi(\pi)}=e^{ir\phi(-\pi)}$, $\phi'(\pi)=\phi'(-\pi)$, $\tilde{\beta}(\pi)=\tilde{\beta}(-\pi)$, we can get

$$|I_3|\lesssim r^{-2},\quad\text{ if }\nu\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we consider the term I_1. We have

$$I_1=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{ir\phi(x+x_0)}\beta(x)dx.$$

It is easy to check that $\phi(x+x_0)-\phi(x_0),\beta$ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.4. Thus by Lemma 2.4 we get

$$I_1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{e^{i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}+R_1(\nu,r)$$

with $|R_1|\lesssim r^{-3/2}$. Similarly, for I_2 we have

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{-e^{i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} + R_2(\nu, r)$$

with $|R_2|\lesssim r^{-3/2}$. Therefore, we prove part (1) by setting $h = R_1 + R_2 + I_3 + J^E_\nu$.

Case 2. $r < 2\nu$.

Let $\gamma = 1 - \beta(\frac{x-x_0}{x_0}) - \beta(\frac{x+x_0}{x_0})$. Then

$$J^M_\nu(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ir\phi(x)}[\beta(\frac{x-x_0}{x_0}) + \beta(\frac{x+x_0}{x_0}) + \gamma(x)]dx := II_1 + II_2 + II_3.$$

First, we estimate the term I_1. We have

$$II_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{ir\phi(x)}\beta(\frac{x-x_0}{x_0})dx = \frac{x_0}{2\pi}\int e^{irx_0^3\cdot x_0^{-3}\phi(x_0x+x_0)}\beta(x)dx.$$

By the condition $r > \nu + \nu^{1/3}$ we get $rx_0^3 \gtrsim 1$. Let $\tilde{\phi}(x) = x_0^{-3}[\phi(x_0x + x_0) - \phi(x_0)]$. By the mean value formula we can verify the conditions in Lemma **??** for $\tilde{\phi}(x), \beta$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 we get

$$II_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{e^{i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} + \tilde{R}_1$$

with $|\tilde{R}_1|\lesssim x_0(rx_0^3)^{-3/2}\lesssim\frac{\nu^2}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{7/4}}$. Similarly, for II_2 we get

$$II_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{-e^{i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} + \tilde{R}_2$$

with $|\tilde{R}_2|\lesssim x_0(rx_0^3)^{-3/2}\lesssim\frac{\nu^2}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{7/4}}$.

Now we estimate the term II_3. We have

$$II_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{ir\phi(x)}\eta(x)\gamma(x)dx + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ir\phi(x)}(1-\eta(x))dx := II_3^1 + II_3^2.$$

For the term II_3^1, it's easy to see that $|\phi'(x)|\gtrsim x_0^2$, $|\partial_x^k(\frac{1}{\phi'(x)})|\lesssim x_0^{-2-k}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ for $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\eta\gamma)$, then integrating by parts we get that

$$|II_3^1|\lesssim r^{-K}\left|\int e^{ir\phi(x)}(\partial_x\frac{1}{\phi'(x)})^K\big[\eta(x)\gamma(x)\big]dx\right|\lesssim x_0(rx_0^3)^{-K}\lesssim\frac{\nu^2}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{7/4}}.$$

For the term II_3^2, we have $|\phi'(x)| \sim 1$ for $x \in \mathrm{supp}(1-\eta)$. Thus we get $|II_3^2|\lesssim r^{-1}$ using integration by parts. If $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, as in case 1, the boundary value vanishes, and we get $|II_3^2|\lesssim r^{-2}$. Thus we prove part (1) by setting $h = \tilde{R}_1 + \tilde{R}_2 + II_3 + J^E_\nu$.

Now we prove part (2). We only need to consider $\tilde{R}_1, \tilde{R}_2$ in case 2. By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_1 =& x_0(2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{ir\phi(x_0)}(rx_0^3)^{-1/2}e^{i\pi/4}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{a_k(rx_0^3)^{-k}}{k!} + x_0O((rx_0^3)^{-K-3/2})\\ =&(2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{i\theta(r)}x_0\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{a_k(rx_0^3)^{-k-1/2}}{k!} + x_0O((rx_0^3)^{-K-3/2}).\end{aligned}$$

We can obtain the expansion for $\tilde{R}_2$ similarly. We complete the proof. □

3. Spherically averaged Strichartz estimates

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by improving the proof in [11]. First, we reproduce some proof in [11] for the readers' convenience. To prove (1.2), it is equivalent to show

$$\|T_a f\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_\rho^p L_\omega^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$T_a f(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x\xi + t|\xi|^a)} \chi_0(|\xi|) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Now we expand f by the orthonormal basis $\{Y_k^l\}$, $k \geq 0, 1 \leq l \leq d(k)$ of spherical harmonics with $d(k) = C_{n+k-1}^k - C_{n+k-3}^{k-2}$, such that

$$f(\xi) = f(\rho\sigma) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{1\leq l\leq d(k)} a_k^l(\rho) Y_k^l(\sigma).$$

Using the identities (see [35])

$$\widehat{Y_k^l}(\rho\sigma) = c_{d,k} \rho^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_\nu(\rho) Y_k^l(\sigma)$$

where $c_{d,k} = (2\pi)^{d/2} i^{-k}$, $\nu = \nu(k) = \frac{d-2+2k}{2}$, then we get

$$T_a f(t,x) = \sum_{k,l} c_{d,k} T_a^\nu(a_k^l)(t,|x|) Y_k^l(x/|x|),$$

where

$$T_a^\nu(h)(t,r) = r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int e^{-it\rho^a} J_\nu(r\rho) \rho^{d/2} \chi_0(\rho) h(\rho) d\rho.$$

Here $J_\nu(r)$ is the Bessel function. Thus (3.1) becomes

$$\|T_a^\nu(a_k^l)\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_r^p l_{k,l}^2} \lesssim \|\{a_k^l(\rho)\}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\rho^2 l_{k,l}^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

To prove (3.2), it is equivalent to show

$$\|T_a^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_r^p} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.3}$$

with a bound independent of ν, since $q, p \geq 2$.

By the classical Strichartz estimates (see the endpoint estimates in [18, 23]), we can get $\|1_{r\leq 100} T_a^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_r^p} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^2}$. Thus it remains to show

$$\|1_{r\gg 1} T_a^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^q \mathcal{L}_r^p} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.4}$$

with a bound independent of ν. For any $R \gg 1$, define

$$S_R^{\nu,a}(h)(t,r) = \chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big) \int e^{-it\rho^a} J_\nu(r\rho) \chi_0(\rho) h(\rho) d\rho.$$

Then

$$\|1_{r\gg 1} T_a^\nu\|_{L^2 \to L_t^q \mathcal{L}_r^p} \lesssim \sum_{j\geq 5} 2^{j(\frac{d-1}{p} - \frac{d-2}{2})} \|S_{2^j}^{\nu,a}\|_{L^2 \to L_t^q L_r^p}.$$

Then to prove (3.4), it suffices to show for some $\delta > 0$

$$R^{\frac{d-1}{p} - \frac{d-2}{2}} \|S_R^{\nu,a}(h)\|_{L_t^q L_r^p} \leq C R^{-\delta} \|h\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.5}$$

where C is independent of ν. By interpolation, we only need to show (3.5) for $(q,p) = (2,p)$. The difficulty in (3.5) is to obtain a uniform bound as $\nu \to \infty$. We

need to exploit the uniform properties of the Bessel function with respect to ν. By the uniform decay of Bessel function presented in Lemma 2.2, one can show

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 2.4, [11]). *Assume $a > 0$. For $\nu > 10$, $R \gtrsim 1$, $2 \le p \le \infty$*

$$\|S_R^{\nu,a}(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^2}, \quad \|S_R^{\nu,a}(h)\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^2} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

This lemma gives the sharp estimates for the wave equation $a = 1$. For the Schrödinger case $a > 1$, there is some more oscillatory effect to exploit. To do so, in [11], $S_R^{\nu,a}$ is decomposed into three operators $S_R^\nu(h) = \sum_{j=1}^3 S_{R,j}^\nu(h)$ where

$$S_{R,j}^\nu(h) = \chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big) \int e^{-it\rho^2} J_\nu(r\rho)\gamma_j(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})\chi_0(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho,$$

with $\gamma_1(x) = \eta(x)$, $\gamma_2(x) = (1-\eta(x))1_{x<0}$, and $\gamma_3(x) = (1-\eta(x))1_{x>0}$. By some uniform stationary phase analysis, the following lemma was proved in [11]

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 2.5, [11]). *Assume $R \gtrsim 1, \lambda \ge 100R^{1/3}$, $2 \le p \le \infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S_{R,1}^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \lambda^{1/4} R^{-1/4} \|h\|_{L^2},\\
&\|S_{R,2}^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \big((\lambda^{-1}R^{1/4})^{1-\frac{2}{p}} + R^{-1/2}\big)\|h\|_{L^2},\\
&\|S_{R,3}^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \big(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\frac{2}{p})} + (\lambda^{-5/4}R^{1/4})^{2/p} + R^{-1/p}\big)\|h\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.1. **The improvement: non-endpoint.** To prove Theorem 1.1, we will refine the estimates for $S_{R,3}^\nu$ and $S_{R,2}^\nu$. We prove

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $R \gtrsim 1, \lambda \ge 100R^{1/3}$, $2 \le p \le \infty$. Then for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\|S_{R,3}^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \big(R^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\frac{2}{p})} + (R^{-1/2}\lambda^{3/2})^{-2K/p}(\lambda^{-5/4}R^{1/4})^{2/p} + R^{-1/p}\big)\|h\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\|S_{R,3}^\nu(h)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^p} \lesssim \big(R^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\frac{2}{p})} + (R^{-1/2}\lambda^{3/2})^{-2K/p}(\lambda^{-5/4}R^{1/4})^{2/p} + R^{-2/p}\big)\|h\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. By interpolation, we only need to show the estimates for $p = 2, \infty$. By the support of γ_3, we have $r\rho > \nu + \lambda > \nu + \nu^{1/3}$ in the support of $\gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})$. Thus we use the Lemma 2.5, and decompose

$$S_{R,3}^\nu(h) := M_{R,3}^\nu(h) + E_{R,3}^\nu(h)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{R,3}^\nu(h) =& \chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big) \int e^{-it\rho^a} \frac{e^{i\theta(r\rho)} + e^{-i\theta(r\rho)}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}(r^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} \gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})\chi_0(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho,\\
E_{R,3}^\nu(h) =& \chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big) \int e^{-it\rho^a} h(\nu, r\rho)\gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})\chi_0(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta(r), h(\nu, r)$ given in Lemma 2.5.

Step 1. The estimate for $M_{R,3}^\nu$.

We only estimate

$$\widetilde{M}_{R,3}^\nu(h) = \chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big) \int e^{-it\rho^a} \frac{e^{i\theta(r\rho)}}{(r^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} \gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})\chi_0(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho,$$

since the other term is similar. It is easy to see $\|\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3}(h)\|_{L^2_tL^2_r}\lesssim\|h\|_2$ by Plancherel's equality in t. It suffices to

$$\|\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3}(h)\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_r}\lesssim R^{-1/4}\|h\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\gamma(x)=\chi(x)\cdot 1_{x>0}$. We decompose further $\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3}(h)=\sum_{k:R^{1/3}\ll 2^k\lesssim R}\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k}(h)$ where

$$\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k}(h)=\chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big)\int e^{-it\rho^a}J_\nu(r\rho)\gamma(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{2^k})\chi_0(\rho)h(\rho)d\rho. \tag{3.9}$$

It suffices to prove

$$\|\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k}(h)\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_r}\lesssim 2^{k/8}R^{-3/8}\|h\|_2. \tag{3.10}$$

By TT^* argument, it suffices to prove

$$\|\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k}(\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k})^*(f)\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_r}\lesssim 2^{k/4}R^{-3/4}\|f\|_{L^2_tL^1_r}. \tag{3.11}$$

The kernel for $\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k}(\widetilde{M}^{\nu}_{R,3,k})^*$ is

$$K(t-t',r,r')=\int e^{-i[(t-t')\rho^a-\theta(r\rho)+\theta(r'\rho)]}\frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big)\gamma(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{2^k})}{(r^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}\frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r'}{R}\big)\gamma(\frac{r'\rho-\nu}{2^k})}{(r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}\chi_0^2(\rho)d\rho.$$

Obviously, we have a trivial bound

$$|K|\lesssim 2^{-k/2}R^{-1/2}.$$

Recall $\theta(r)=(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}-\nu\arccos\frac{\nu}{r}-\frac{\pi}{4}$, then direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta'(r)=&(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}r^{-1},\\
\theta''(r)=&(r^2-\nu^2)^{-1/2}-(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}r^{-2}=(r^2-\nu^2)^{-1/2}\nu^2r^{-2},\\
\theta'''(r)=&(r^2-\nu^2)^{-3/2}\frac{\nu^2}{r}(-3+\frac{2\nu^2}{r^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting $G=\frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big)\gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})}{(r^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}\frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r'}{R}\big)\gamma_3(\frac{r'\rho-\nu}{\lambda})}{(r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}\chi_0^2(\rho)$, $\phi_2=t\rho^a-\theta(r\rho)+\theta(r'\rho)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho(\phi_2)=&at\rho^{a-1}-\theta'(r\rho)r+\theta'(r'\rho)r'=2t\rho-\frac{\rho(r'^2-r^2)}{\sqrt{r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{r^2\rho^2-\nu^2}}\\
\partial^2_\rho(\phi_2)=&a(a-1)t\rho^{a-2}-\theta''(r\rho)r^2+\theta''(r'\rho)r'^2\\
=&a(a-1)t\rho^{a-2}+\frac{(r'^2-r^2)}{\sqrt{r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{r^2\rho^2-\nu^2}}\frac{\nu^2}{\sqrt{r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2}\sqrt{r^2\rho^2-\nu^2}}\\
\partial^3_\rho(\phi_2)=&-\theta'''(r\rho)r^3+\theta'''(r'\rho)r'^3.
\end{aligned}$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $R\lesssim\nu$

The key observation here is that if $|\partial_\rho(\phi_2)|\ll|t|$, then $|\partial^2_\rho(\phi_2)|\gtrsim|t|R2^{-k}$ on the support of G. Indeed, if $|\partial_\rho(\phi_2)|\ll|t|$, then t and $\frac{r'^2-r^2}{\sqrt{r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{r^2\rho^2-\nu^2}}$ have same

sign and comparable size. This observation is not true if $a<1$, in which case $\partial_\rho(\phi_2)$ and $\partial_\rho(\phi_2)$ can both be small. Note that on the support of G, one has

$$\frac{|r'^2-r^2|}{\sqrt{r'^2\rho^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{r^2\rho^2-\nu^2}}\lesssim 2^{k/2}R^{1/2}.$$

If $|t|\lesssim 2^{k/2}R^{1/2}$, we divide K

$$K=\int e^{-i\phi_2}G\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})d\rho+\int e^{-i\phi_2}G[1-\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})]d\rho:=I_1+I_2.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1|\lesssim&|t|^{-1/2}\bigg(\int|\partial_\rho G\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})|d\rho+\int|G\eta_0'(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})\frac{100\partial_\rho^2(\phi_2)}{t}|d\rho\bigg)\\ \lesssim&|t|^{-1/2}2^{k/2}R^{-1/2}2^{-k/2}R^{-1/2},\end{aligned}$$

where for the first term, we estimate it as K_3, while for the second term, we only need to observe that $\eta_0'(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})\frac{100\partial_\rho^2(\phi_2)}{t}$ has fixed sign depending only on t.

For I_2, without loss of generality, we assume $r^2-r'^2>0$. Then integrating by part, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2|\lesssim&\int\bigg|\partial_\rho\bigg((\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-1}G[1-\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})]\bigg)\bigg|\,d\rho\\ \lesssim&\int\bigg|\frac{\partial_\rho^2\phi_2}{(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^2}G[1-\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})]\bigg|\,d\rho\\ &+|t|^{-1}(\int|\partial_\rho G|d\rho+\int|G\eta_0'(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})\frac{100\partial_\rho^2(\phi_2)}{t}|d\rho)\\ \lesssim&\int\frac{|\partial_\rho^2\phi_2|}{(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^2}G[1-\eta_0(\frac{100\partial_\rho(\phi_2)}{t})]d\rho+|t|^{-1}\lambda^{-1/2}R^{-1/2}\\ \lesssim&|t|^{-1}2^{-k/2}R^{-1/2},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\partial_\rho^2\phi_2$ changes the sign at most once.

If $|t|\gg 2^{k/2}R^{1/2}$, we have $|\partial_\rho(\phi_2)|\sim|t|$. Thus integrating by part, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|K|\lesssim&\int\big|\partial_\rho\big[(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-1}\partial_\rho\big((\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-1}G\big)\big]\big|\,d\rho\\ \lesssim&\int\big|(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-3}\partial_\rho^3\phi_2G\big|\,d\rho+\int\big|(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-2}\partial_\rho^2G\big|\,d\rho\\ &+\int\big|(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-3}\partial_\rho^2\phi_2\partial_\rho G\big|\,d\rho+\int\big|(\partial_\rho\phi_2)^{-4}(\partial_\rho^2\phi_2)^2G\big|\,d\rho\\ :=&II_1+II_2+II_3+II_4.\end{aligned}$$

As for I_2, we can obtain

$$II_2+II_3+II_4\lesssim|t|^{-2}2^{-k/2}R^{-1/2}R^2\lesssim|t|^{-2}2^{-2k}\lesssim|t|^{-2}2^{-5k/2}R^{3/2}.$$

For II_1, we have

$$II_1 \lesssim |t|^{-3}\lambda^{-1/2}R^{-1/2}\int(-\theta'''(r\rho)r^3-\theta'''(r'\rho)r'^3)\gamma_3(\frac{r\rho-\nu}{\lambda})\gamma_3(\frac{r'\rho-\nu}{\lambda})d\rho$$
$$\lesssim |t|^{-3}\lambda^{-1/2}R^{-1/2}\sup_{\rho: r\rho>\nu+\lambda}\theta''(r\rho)r^2 \lesssim |t|^{-3}2^{-k}R.$$

Thus, eventually we get

$$|K| \lesssim |t|^{-1/2}R^{-1}1_{|t|\lesssim R^{1/2}2^{k/2}} + (|t|^{-2}2^{-5k/2}R^{3/2}+|t|^{-3}2^{-k}R)1_{|t|\gg R^{1/2}2^{k/2}}$$

which implies $\|K\|_{L^1_t L^\infty_{r,r'}} \lesssim 2^{k/4}R^{-3/4}$ as desired, if $2^k \gtrsim R^{1/3}$.

Case 2. $R \gg \nu$.

In this case, we may assume $2^k \sim R$. We also observe that if $|\partial_\rho(\phi_2)| \ll |t|$, then $|\partial^2_\rho(\phi_2)| \gtrsim |t|$ on the support of G. The rest of proof is the same as Case 1.

Step 2. The estimate for $E^\nu_{R,3}$.

First, we have for any $f \in L^2$

$$\|E^\nu_{R,3}(f)\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_r} \lesssim \|S^\nu_{R,3}(f)\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_r} + \|M^\nu_{R,3}(f)\|_{L^2_t L^\infty_r} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, using the decay estimate of $h(\nu, r)$, we get

$$\|E^\nu_{R,3}(f)\|_{L^2_t L^2_r} \lesssim (\lambda^{-5/4}R^{1/4}+R^{-1/2})\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

In the case $d=2$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus by the better decay of $h(\nu, r)$ given by [], we can get

$$\|E^\nu_{R,3}(f)\|_{L^2_t L^2_r} \lesssim (\lambda^{-5/4}R^{1/4}+R^{-1})\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, the lemma with $K=0$ is proved by interpolation.

To show the case $K \geq 1$, we need to analyze $E^\nu_{R,3}$ more carefully. Using the expansion in Lemma 2.5, we can divide

$$E^\nu_{R,3}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^K E^\nu_{R,3,k}(f) + \tilde{E}_{R,3,K}$$

where $\tilde{E}_{R,3,k}$ is the term $E_{R,3,k}$ with h replaced by $\tilde{h}$. Arguing as before, we see $E^\nu_{R,3,k}$ has the same bound as $M^\nu_{R,3}$. For $\tilde{E}_{R,3,K}$, we can obtain the bound similarly as $E^\nu_{R,3}$. We complete the proof □

For the case $d=2$, we also need to refine the estimate for $S^\nu_{R,2}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Sobolev embedding we get

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $R \gtrsim 1$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $\lambda \geq 100R^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then for any $N > 0$ there exists $C_{N,\varepsilon}$ such that*

$$\|S^\nu_{R,2}(h)\|_{L^2_t L^p_r} \leq C_{N,\varepsilon}R^{-N\varepsilon}\|h\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 for the non-endpoint range, namely assuming

$$2 \leq q, p \leq \infty,\ \frac{1}{q} < (d-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}) \text{ or } (q,p)=(\infty,2). \tag{3.15}$$

It suffices to show (3.5). First, we consider $d \geq 3$. From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 by taking $\lambda = R^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ and $K=0$, we get

$$R^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}\|S^{\nu,a}_R(h)\|_{L^2_t L^p_r} \lesssim R^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}R^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\frac{2}{p})}\|h\|_2 \lesssim R^{-\delta}\|h\|_2$$

for some $\delta > 0$ if $\frac{4d-2}{2d-3} < p < \frac{2d}{d-2}$. For $d = 2$, we can prove Theorem 1.1 similarly by using Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 by taking $\lambda = R^{1/3+\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and K sufficiently large.

3.2. **The improvement: endpoint.** It remains to prove Theorem 1.1 for (q, p) lying on the endpoint line for $d \geq 3$, namely $\frac{1}{q} = (d - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$. From the proof in the previous subsection, assuming $\lambda > R^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ we know the logarithmic difficulty only appears in the summation $\sum_R \widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(h)$ (This is not true for $d = 2$). We will exploit some orthogonality to overcome this logarithmic difficulty. Similar technique was also used in [5] and [17]. It suffices to show

$$\| \sum_R r^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}} \widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(h) \|_{L^q_t L^p_r} \leq \|h\|_2 \tag{3.16}$$

with a uniform bound with respect to ν. By TT^* argument, we see that (3.16) is equivalent to

$$\| \sum_{R',R} r^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}} \widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3})^*(r'^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}} g) \|_{L^q_t L^p_r} \leq \|g\|_{L^{q'}_t L^{p'}_r}. \tag{3.17}$$

The key ingredient to prove (3.17) is the following observation:

Lemma 3.5. *Assume $d \geq 2$, $R \gg R' > 1$ and (q, p) satisfies $2 \leq q, p \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{q} = (d - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, $(q, p) \neq (2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3})$. Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\|r^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}} \widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3})^*(r'^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}} g)\|_{L^q_t L^p_r} \lesssim (R'/R)^\varepsilon \|g\|_{L^{q'}_t L^{p'}_r}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. By interpolation, it suffices to show Lemma 3.5 for $q = p = q_0 = \frac{4d+2}{2d-1}$. We may assume $R \gg R' \gtrsim \nu$. Then we decompose

$$M^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3})^* g = \sum_{k: R'^{\frac{1}{2}+} < 2^k \lesssim R'} M^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^* g$$

where $\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3,k}$ is given by (3.9). We can write

$$\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^* g = \int \tilde{K}(t - t', r, r') g(t', r') dt' dr'$$

where

$$\tilde{K}(t - t', r, r') = \int e^{-i[(t-t')\rho^a - \theta(r\rho) + \theta(r'\rho)]} \frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r}{R}\big)}{(r^2\rho^2 - \nu^2)^{1/4}} \frac{\chi_0\big(\frac{r'}{R'}\big)\gamma\big(\frac{r'\rho - \nu}{2^k}\big)}{(r'^2\rho^2 - \nu^2)^{1/4}} \chi_0^2(\rho) d\rho.$$

By the stationary phase method as for K in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$|\tilde{K}| \lesssim (R\frac{R'^{3/2}}{R2^{k/2}})^{-1/2} R^{-1/2} R'^{-1/4} 2^{-k/4} \lesssim R^{-1} R'^{-1/2}.$$

Thus we get

$$\|r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^*(r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} g)\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_r} \lesssim (RR')^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} R^{-1} R'^{-1/2} \|g\|_{L^1_t L^1_r}.$$

Interpolating with the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}\|r^{\frac12}\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^*(r'^{\frac12}g)\|_{L^2_tL^2_r}&\lesssim R^{\frac12}\|(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^*(r'^{\frac12}g)\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim R^{\frac12}R'^{\frac12}2^{k/4}R'^{-1/4}\|g\|_{L^2_tL^2_r}\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|r^{\frac{d-1}{q_0}}\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3,k})^*(r'^{\frac{d-1}{q_0}}g)\|_{L^{q_0}_tL^{q_0}_r}\\\lesssim&((RR')^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}R^{-1}R'^{-1/2})^{1-2/q_0}(R^{\frac12}R'^{\frac12}2^{k/4}R'^{-1/4})^{2/q_0}\|g\|_{L^{q_0'}_tL^{q_0'}_r}\\\lesssim&(R'/R)^{\frac{1}{4d+2}}(2^{k/4}R'^{-1/4})^{\frac{2d-1}{2d+1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_0'}_tL^{q_0'}_r}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, summing over k we complete the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Now we prove (3.17). We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sum_{R,R'}r^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3})^*(r'^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}g)\|_{L^q_tL^p_r}\\\lesssim&(\sum_R\|\sum_{R'}r^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R,3}(\widetilde{M}^\nu_{R',3})^*(r'^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d-2}{2}}\chi(r/R')g)\|^2_{L^q_tL^p_r})^{1/2}\\\lesssim&(\sum_R\|\chi(r/R)g\|^2_{L^{q'}_tL^{p'}_r})^{1/2}\lesssim\|g\|_{L^{q'}_tL^{p'}_r}.\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma (3.5) in the second inequality.

Interpolating Theorem 1.1 with the classical Strichartz estimates we get

Corollary 3.6. *Assume $a>1, d\geq 2$, $\frac{2d}{d-2}>p>\frac{4d-2}{2d-3}$. Let $\beta(p)=\frac{2p(d-1)}{(4-p)d+2p-2}$. Then*

$$\left\|e^{itD^a}P_0f\right\|_{L^2_t\mathcal{L}^p_\rho L^{\beta(p)-}_\omega}\lesssim\|f\|_{L^2},$$

where $a-$ denotes $a-\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.

3.3. **Counter-example.** Finally, we use the Knapp example to obtain some necessary conditions for the Strichartz estimates with mixed angular-radius integrability, namely

$$\|e^{itD^a}P_0f\|_{L^q_t\mathcal{L}^p_\rho L^s_\omega}\lesssim\|f\|_{L^2_x}. \tag{3.19}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Assume $1\neq a>0$ and (3.19) holds. Then $\frac2q\leq\frac d2-\frac{2d-1}{p}+\frac{d-1}{s}$. As a consequence, $\beta(p)$ in Corollary 3.6 is sharp.*

Proof. Take

$$D=\{\xi=(\xi_1,\xi')\in\mathbb{R}^d:|\xi_1-1|\lesssim\delta,|\xi'|\leq\delta\}.$$

Let $\hat f=1_D(\xi)$. Then $\|f\|_2\sim\delta^{d/2}$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{it|\xi|^\sigma}e^{ix\xi}\eta_0(\xi)\hat f(\xi)d\xi=e^{i(t+x_1)}\int_D e^{it(|\xi|^a-\xi_1^a)}e^{it(\xi_1^a-1-a(\xi_1-1))}e^{i(ta+x_1)(\xi_1-1)}e^{ix'\xi'}d\xi.$$

Since in D we have

$$||\xi|^a-\xi_1^a|\lesssim|\xi'|^2\lesssim\delta^2,\ |\xi_1^a-1-a(\xi_1-1)|\lesssim|\xi_1-1|^2\lesssim\delta^2,$$

then for $(t,x)\in E=\{|t|\lesssim\delta^{-2},\ |ta+x_1|\lesssim\delta^{-1},\ |x'|\lesssim\delta^{-1}\}$, we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it|\xi|^a}e^{ix\xi}\eta_0(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi\right|\sim|D|\sim\delta^d.$$

By simple geometric observation we see that

$$E\supseteq E'=\{|t|\sim\delta^{-2},\rho\in(\delta^{-2},\delta^{-2}+\delta^{-1}),|\theta|<\delta\}$$

where θ is the central angle. Therefore, (3.19) implies

$$\delta^d\delta^{(d-1)/s}(\delta^{-2})^{\frac{d-1}{p}}\delta^{-1/p}\delta^{-\frac{2}{q}}\lesssim\delta^{d/2},$$

which implies immediately that $\frac{2}{q}\le\frac{d}{2}-\frac{2d-1}{p}+\frac{d-1}{s}$ by taking $\delta\ll1$. □

4. 3D Zakharov system

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. We follow the ideas in [12] and [11]. The new difficulty is to handle the fractional derivatives on the sphere in accordance with Fourier multiplier, we will transfer it to $SO(3)$. After normal form reduction (see [12]), the Zakharov system (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&(i\partial_t+D^2)(u-\Omega(N,u))=(Nu)_{LH+HH+\alpha L}+\Omega(D|u|^2,u)+\Omega(N,Nu),\\&(i\partial_t+\alpha D)(N-D\tilde{\Omega}(u,u))=D|u|^2_{HH+\alpha L+L\alpha}+D\tilde{\Omega}(Nu,u)+D\tilde{\Omega}(u,Nu).\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where $N=n-iD^{-1}\dot{n}/\alpha$, $\Omega,\tilde{\Omega}$ are bilinear Fourier multiplier operators with symbols $\omega,\tilde{\omega}$ respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\xi,\eta)=&\frac{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}:|k-\log_2\alpha|>1}\chi_k(\xi)\chi_{\le k-5}(\eta)}{-|\xi+\eta|^2+\alpha|\xi|+|\eta|^2},\\\omega(\xi,\eta)=&\frac{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}:|k-\log_2\alpha|>1}(\chi_k(\xi)\chi_{\le k-5}(\eta)+\chi_k(\eta)\chi_{\le k-5}(\xi))}{|\xi|^2-|\eta|^2-\alpha|\xi+\eta|}.\end{aligned}$$

Here the bilinear Fourier multiplier operator with a symbol m on $\mathbb{R}^6$ is the bilinear operator T_m defined by $T_m(f,g)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}m(\xi,\eta)\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\eta)e^{ix(\xi+\eta)}d\xi d\eta$.

Following [12, 11], for u and N, we use the Strichartz norms with angular regularity:

$$u\in X=\langle D\rangle^{-1}(L^\infty_tH^{0,s}_\omega\cap L^2_t\dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,s}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}\cap L^2_t\dot{B}^{0,s}_{6,\omega}),\tag{4.2}$$

$$N\in Y=L^\infty_tH^{0,s}_\omega\cap L^2_t\dot{B}^{-1/4-\varepsilon,s}_{(q(-\varepsilon),2+),\omega},\tag{4.3}$$

for fixed $0<\varepsilon\ll1$, where

$$\frac{1}{q(\varepsilon)}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3},\quad\frac{1}{\gamma(\varepsilon)}=\frac{3}{8}+\frac{5\varepsilon}{6}.\tag{4.4}$$

The condition $0<\varepsilon\ll1$ ensures that

$$\frac{10}{3}<q(\varepsilon)<4<q(-\varepsilon)<\infty,\tag{4.5}$$

such that the norms in (4.2)-(4.3) satisfy the condition in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 \le p, p_1, p_2 \le \infty$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Assume $m(\xi,\eta)$ is a symbol on $\mathbb{R}^6$, $m(A\xi, A\eta) = m(\xi,\eta)$ for any $A \in SO(3)$, m is bounded and satisfies for all α, β*

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi,\eta)| \le C_{\alpha\beta} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|}, \quad \xi, \eta \neq 0.$$

Then for $q > 1$, $q_1, \tilde{q}_1 \in (1,\infty)$, $\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \in (1,\infty]$, and $1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/\tilde{q}_1 + 1/\tilde{q}_2$

$$\|T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g)\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^p \mathcal{H}_q^\alpha} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_1} \mathcal{H}_{q_1}^\alpha} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_2} L_\omega^{q_2}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_1} L_\omega^{\tilde{q}_2}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_2} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{q}_1}^\alpha}$$

holds for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, with an uniform constant C.

Proof. We can write

$$T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g)(x) = \int K(x-y, x-y') f(y) g(y') dy dy'$$

where the kernel is given by $K(x,y) = \int m(\xi,\eta) \chi_{k_1}(\xi) \chi_{k_2}(\eta) e^{ix\xi + iy\eta} d\xi d\eta$. From the assumption on m, and integration by parts, we get a pointwise bound of the kernel:

$$|K(x,y)| \lesssim 2^{3k_1} (1 + |2^{k_1} x|)^{-4} 2^{3k_2} (1 + |2^{k_2} y|)^{-4}. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $m(A\xi, A\eta) = m(\xi,\eta)$, then $K(Ax, Ay) = K(x,y)$ for any $A \in SO(3)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g)\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^p \mathcal{H}_q^\alpha} \lesssim & \|T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g)\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^p L_\omega^q} + \|D_\omega^\alpha T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g)\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^p L_\omega^q} \\ := & I + II. \end{aligned}$$

We only consider the term II since term I can be handled in an easier way. By the fractional derivative on $SO(3)$ (see the appendix) we get

$$\begin{aligned} II =& \|A(D_\omega^\alpha T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g))\|_{L_x^p L_A^q} = \|D_A^\alpha A(T_m(P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g))\|_{L_x^p L_A^q} \\ =& \left\| \int K(x-y, x-y') D_A^\alpha [f(Ay) g(Ay')] dy dy' \right\|_{L_x^p L_A^q} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_1} \mathcal{H}_{q_1}^\alpha} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_2} L_\omega^{q_2}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_1} L_\omega^{\tilde{q}_2}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^{p_2} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{q}_1}^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 5.1 in the last step. □

Now we follow the proof with slight modifications in [12] to prove Theorem 1.2. It suffices to prove the nonlinear estimates. Fix $s > 3/4$. The following two lemmas can be proved similarly as Lemma 3.2-3.3 in [12]. The main difference is that we use Lemma 4.1 for every bilinear dyadic piece.

Lemma 4.2 (Bilinear terms I)**.** *(1) For any N and u, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nu)_{LH}\|_{L_t^1 H_\omega^{1,s}} \lesssim & \|N\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{-1/4-\varepsilon,s}_{(q(-\varepsilon),2+),\omega}} \|\langle D\rangle u\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,s}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}}, \\ \|(Nu)_{HH}\|_{L_t^1 H_\omega^{1,s}} \lesssim & \|N\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{-1/4-\varepsilon,s}_{(q(-\varepsilon),2+),\omega}} \|\langle D\rangle u\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,s}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $0 \le \theta \le 1$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, then for any N and u

$$\|(Nu)_{\alpha L}\|_{\langle D\rangle^{-1} L_t^{\tilde{q}'} \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{q}-\frac{3}{\tilde{r}},s}_{(\tilde{r}',2),\omega}} \lesssim \|N\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{-1/4-\varepsilon,s}_{(q(-\varepsilon),2+),\omega}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_\omega^{0,1} \cap L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,s}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}}.$$

Lemma 4.3 (Bilinear terms II). *(1) For any u, we have*

$$\|D(u\bar{u})_{HH}\|_{L_t^1 H_\omega^{0,s}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4-\varepsilon,s}_{(q(-\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}} \|\langle D\rangle u\|_{L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,s}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}}. \tag{4.7}$$

(2) If $0 \le \theta \le 1$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, then

$$\|D(u\bar{u})_{\alpha L + L\alpha}\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{3}{\tilde{r}},s}_{(\tilde{r}',2),\omega}} \lesssim \|\langle D\rangle u\|^2_{L_t^\infty H_\omega^{0,s} \cap L_t^2 \dot{B}^{1/4+\varepsilon,1}_{(q(\varepsilon),\gamma(\varepsilon)-),\omega}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Remark 2. In application, we will use Lemma 4.2 (2) and Lemma 4.3 (2) by fixing a $0 < \theta_0 \ll 1$ such that by this choice $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ is admissible to apply Corollary 3.6.

For the boundary terms and cubic terms, we can use Lemma 4.1 to prove the estimates similarly as Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7 obtained in [12].

5. Appendix: Sobolev spaces on $SO(3)$

In the appendix, we collect some facts about the Sobolev spaces on $SO(3)$. It is well-known that $G = SO(3)$ is a compact Lie group, with a Haar measure μ. Let $X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t)$ denote the subgroups with x, y, z-axis as axis of rotations, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t & -\sin t \\ 0 & \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & 0 & -\sin t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin t & 0 & \cos t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let X_j be the vector fields induced by $X_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, namely for $f \in C^\infty(G)$

$$X_j(f) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(AX_j(t)).$$

Define the Laplacian operator Δ_A on G by $\Delta_A = \sum_j X_j^2$ and $D_A = (-\Delta_A)^{1/2}$. Then we define the Sobolev space $H_p^s(G)$ by the norm $\|f\|_{H_p^s(G)} = \|f\|_{L_\mu^p(G)} + \|D_A^s f\|_{L_\mu^p(G)}$.

Δ_ω denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unite sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ endowed with the standard metric g and measure $d\omega$ and $D_\omega = (-\Delta_\omega)^{1/2}$. Let $\tilde{X}_1 = x_2\partial_{x_3} - x_3\partial_{x_2}$, $\tilde{X}_2 = x_3\partial_{x_1} - x_1\partial_{x_3}$, $\tilde{X}_3 = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_1}$. It is well-known that for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\Delta_\omega(f)(x) = \sum_{1 \le j \le 3} \tilde{X}_j^2(f)(x).$$

For $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $A \in SO(3)$, define the action $A(f) = f(Ax)$. It is easy to see that $X_j[f(Ax)] = A(\tilde{X}_j(f))$, and hence $(-\Delta_A)^s[f(Ax)] = A((-\Delta_\omega)^s f)$. Moreover, we have $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(x)d\omega = \int_G f(Ax)d\mu$. Therefore, we can transfer freely the fractional derivatives between $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $SO(3)$. We will use the fractional Leibniz rule on $SO(3)$ which was proved in [6].

Lemma 5.1 (Theorem 4, [6]). *Let $\alpha \ge 0$, $p_1, q_2 \in (1, \infty]$ and $r, p_2, q_1 \in (1, \infty)$ such that $1/r = 1/p_i + 1/q_i, i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\|D_A^\alpha(fg)\|_{L_\mu^r(G)} \lesssim \|f\|_{p_1}\|D_A^\alpha g\|_{q_1} + \|g\|_{q_2}\|D_A^\alpha f\|_{p_2}.$$

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Kenji Nakanishi for the precious suggestions and Xiaolong Han for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by NNSF of China (No.11371037) and ARC Discovery Grant DP110102488.

References

[1] J. Barcelo, A. Cordoba, Band-limited functions: L^p-convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 312 (1989), 1–15.

[2] I. Bejenaru and S. Herr, Convolutions of singular measures and applications to the Zakharov system. J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 2, 478–506.

[3] I. Bejenaru, S. Herr, J. Holmer, and D. Tataru, On the 2D Zakharov system with L^2 Schrödinger data, Nonlinearity 22 (2009), no. 5, 1063–1089.

[4] J. Bourgain and J. Colliander, On wellposedness of the Zakharov system, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 11, 515–546.

[5] Y. Cho, S. Lee, Strichartz Estimates in Spherical Coordinates, to appear in Indi. Univ. Math. J., arXiv:1202.3543v2.

[6] T. Coulhon, E. Russ, V. Tardivel-Nachef, Sobolev algebras on Lie groups and Riemannian manifolds, American Journal of Mathematics, 123 (2001), No. 2, 283–342.

[7] J. Ginebre, G. Velo, Smoothing Properties and Retarded Estimates for Some Dispersive Evolution Equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 123 (1989), 535–573.

[8] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation, J. Funct. Anal., 133 (1995), 50–68.

[9] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, Scattering theory for the Zakharov system, Hokkaido Math. J. 35 (2006), no. 4, 865–892.

[10] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, and G. Velo, On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system, J. Funct. Anal. 151 (1997), no. 2, 384–436.

[11] Z. Guo, S. Lee, K. Nakanishi, C. Wang, Generalized Strichartz Estimates and Scattering for 3D Zakharov System, Commun. Math. Phys, (DOI) 10.1007/s00220-014-2006-0.

[12] Z. Guo, K. Nakanishi, Small energy scattering for the Zakharov system with radial symmetry, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2013) doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns296.

[13] Z. Guo, K. Nakanishi, S. Wang, Global dynamics below the ground state energy for the Zakharov system in the 3D radial case, Advances in Mathematics 238 (2013) 412–441.

[14] Z. Guo, Y. Wang, Improved Strichartz estimates for a class of dispersive equations in the radial case and their applications to nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equation, to appear J. Anal. Math., arXiv:1007.4299.

[15] Z. Hani, F. Pusateri, J. Shatah, Scattering for the Zakharov system in 3 dimensions, preprint, arXiv:1206.3473.

[16] J. Jiang, C. Wang, X. Yu, Generalized and weighted Strichartz estimates, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 11(2012), Number 5, 1723–1752.

[17] Y. Ke, Remark on the Strichartz estimates in the radial case, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387 (2012), 857–861.

[18] M. Keel, T. Tao, Endpoint Strichartz estimates, Amer. J. Math., 120 (1998), 360–413.

[19] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the Zakharov and Zakharov-Schulman systems, J. Funct. Anal. 127 (1995), no. 1, 204–234.

[20] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon, Space-time estimates for null forms and the local existence theorem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), 1221–1268.

[21] N. Kishimoto, Local well-posedness for the Zakharov system on multidimensional torus, preprint (2011).

[22] H. Lindblad, C. D. Sogge, On Existence and Scattering with Minimal Regularity for Semilinear Wave Equations, Jour. Func. Anal., 130 (1995), 357–426.

[23] S. Machihara, M. Nakamura, K. Nakanishi, T. Ozawa, Endpoint Strichartz estimates and global solutions for the nonlinear Dirac equation, J. Funct. Anal. 219 (2005), 1–20.

[24] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, Energy convergence for singular limits of Zakharov type systems, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 3, 535–583.

[25] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, Uniqueness of solutions for Zakharov systems, Funkcial. Ekvac. 52 (2009), no. 2, 233–253.

[26] F. Merle, Blow-up results of virial type for Zakharov equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 175 (1996), pp. 433–455.

[27] T. Ozawa, Y. Tsutsumi, The nonlinear Schrödinger limit and the initial layer of the Zakharov equations. Differ. Integral Equ. 5 (1992) no. 4, 721–745.
[28] T. Ozawa, Y. Tsutsumi, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Zakharov equations in three-dimensions space, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 3 (Special Issue) (1993/94) 301–334.
[29] T. Ozawa, K. Tsutaya, Y. Tsutsumi, Well-posedness in energy space for the Cauchy problem of the Klein–Gordon–Zakharov equations with different propagation speeds in three space dimensions, Math. Ann. 313 (1999) no. 1, 127–140.
[30] S. Schochet, M. Weinstein, The nonlinear Schrödinger limit of the Zakharov equations governing Langmuir turbulence, Commun. Math. Phys. 106 (1986), no.4, 569–580.
[31] S. Shao, Sharp linear and bilinear restriction estimates for paraboloids in the cylindrically symmetric case, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 25 (2009), no. 3, 1127–1168.
[32] A. Shimomura, Scattering theory for Zakharov equations in three-dimensional space with large data, Commun. Contemp. Math. 6 (2004), no. 6, 881–899.
[33] H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, C. Wang, Strichartz estimates for Dirichlet-wave equations in two dimensions with applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 6, 3329–3347.
[34] C. Sogge, Lectures on nonlinear wave equations, Monographs in Analysis II, International Press, 1995.
[35] E. Stein, G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, 1971.
[36] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton University Press, 1993.
[37] J. Sterbenz, Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation, With an appendix by Igor Rodnianski, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005 No.4, 187–231.
[38] R. S. Strichartz, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equation, Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), 705–714.
[39] H. Takaoka, Well-posedness for the Zakharov system with the periodic boundary condition, Differential Integral Equations 12 (1999), no. 6, 789–810.
[40] T. Tao, Spherically averaged endpoint Strichartz estimates for the two- dimensional Schrödinger equation. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 25 (2000), 1471–1485.
[41] G. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Reprint of the second (1944) edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[42] K. Yajima, Existence of solutions for Schrödinger evolution equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 110 (1987), 415–426.
[43] V. E. Zakharov, Collapse of Langmuir waves, Sov. Phys. JETP 35 (1972), 908–914.
[44] M. Zworski, Semiclassical analysis, American Mathematical Society, 2012.

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China & Department of Mathematics, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

E-mail address: zihuaguo@math.pku.edu.cn